

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,429	09/16/2003	Christopher M. Glenna	54093US010	4543
32692	7590 07/23/2004		EXAMINER	
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			AHMAD, NASSER	
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1772	

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,429	GLENNA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nasser Ahmad	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	eptember 2003.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/16/03.</li> </ul>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,429

Art Unit: 1772

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-16, 20-23 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dills (4512462) in view of Hammond (2015268).

Dills relates to a tape roll comprising a central tape roll axis, a length of adhesive tape (16) is circumferentially wound about the axis, a tab (10) is adhered to the adhesive surface of the trailing end of the tape and is, in turn, adhered to the penultimate layer of the tape. As shown in figure-3, the tab has the hole as the adhesive section and the non-adhesive section section (12), and that the trailing end of the tape is located between the ends of the tab, overlaying both the sections. The adhesive strength can be the same as that of the tape because the adhesive of the tape is used to adhere the tab to the tape penultimate layer. The tab can be of paper (col. 2, lines 18-19) which is known to be opaque or polyethylene (col. 3, lines 23), that is known to be transparent. However, Dills fails to teach that the tab has adhesive section. Hammond discloses adhesive tape tab comprising an adhesive strip (c) with perforations (d), wherein it is understood that the perforations provide for non-adhesive section. Since the strip stays with the tape, upon lifting of the trailing end, the adhesive strength of the tab is greater

Application/Control Number: 10/664,429

Art Unit: 1772

than that of the tape. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art utilize Hammond's teaching of using an adhesive tab strip with adhesive and non-adhesive portions in the invention of Dills with the motivation to provide for releasability of the tab from the tape surface.

3. Claims 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over dills in view of Hammond and McLaughlin (6663932).

Dills and Hammond, as discussed above, fails to teach that the tab comprises a deadening layer. McLaughlin discloses an adhesive strip provided with an ink coated layer on the adhesive to deaden the adhesive (abstract). The strips can be used as tabs for adhesive tape fasteners. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize McLaughlin's teaching of using adhesive deadening layer over the adhesive coating in the invention of Hammond with the motivation to provide for releasability of the tab.

4. Claims 18-19 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dills in view of Hammond and Rosen (3247956).

Dill and Hammond, as discussed above, fails to teach that the tab is folded over itself to provide the non-adhesive section. Rosen relates to an adhesive tape wherein the free end of the tape can be folded upon itself to provide for the non-adhesive tab portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,429

Art Unit: 1772

Therefore, it would have been obvious to on e having ordinary skill in the art to utilize Rosen's teaching of using of using folded tape portion as the non-adhesive tab portion in the invention of dills with the motivation to facilitate gripping.

With regards to claim 24 and 25, the adhesive strength being less than that of the tape or repositionable pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA). It would have been obvious for the adhesive of Dills to exhibit said characteristics because the adhesive present in the hole of the tab is limitedly exposed for less strength and repositionability.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. July 22, 2004.